

Winnipeg Free Press - PRINT EDITION

Posted: 03/30/2011

[UNESCO has no plan](#)

Re: *Hydro official changes tune* (March 25). A sidebar to this article says that "the east side and its boreal forest" has been "set aside" under a plan to have it designated a United Nations World Heritage site. Actually there is no plan setting aside some undefined "east side" for anything.

There is a movement to have a portion of the forest on the east side of Lake Winnipeg designated a UNESCO World Heritage site. I do not believe there is any stated UNESCO policy that would prevent this from happening with the presence of a power transmission line on a tiny portion of the site.

The sidebar also says if Bipole III were located on the east side, the supporters of the UNESCO designation likely would sue the province. I would suggest there is a much higher likelihood the many farmers and landowners impacted by the west side route will be suing the province.

Finally, with respect to yet-to-be signed "lucrative export contracts," which may or may not be affected, Manitoba Hydro has clearly stated in the current PUB hearings that Bipole III is required first for purposes of system reliability.

ROBERT FOSTER
Winnipeg