

## Voice of sanity

Finally, a voice of sanity. It was exciting to read Chief George Kemp's letter on the lunacy of Bipole III going down the west side (*Bad decision mirrored*, Nov. 17).

He is absolutely not a "lone wolf" on this issue. I have yet to read a compelling or logical argument that supports putting the line down the west side. I keep hearing that an east-side route would threaten having the area declared a UNESCO World Heritage site. Who cares? Perhaps 23 tourists from Europe?

I recently toured the cathedral in Aachen, Germany. I very much enjoyed the experience but found out later it was declared a UNESCO World Heritage site. That moniker did nothing to affect my enjoyment of the architecture and historical significance, so why the concern about our boreal forest?

Is it not more important to do our First Nations people right and provide them an efficient and logical access route to the south? Is it not fiscally responsible to save the taxpayers hundreds of millions of dollars? Is it not more logical to avoid the invasion and disruption of all the existing landowners on the west side? Is it not more logical to do the energy efficient approach?

GARY HOOK

Winnipeg